

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/806,607	GOLDMAN, DAVID A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter P. Nerbun	3765	

All Participants:

(1) Peter P. Nerbun.

(2) Mark G. Hanley.
Status of Application: Non-Final Action Mailed

(3) David A. Goldman.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 November 2004
Time: 15:00
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Rejection of claim 1 under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Futamura (U.S.P. 5,740,056)
Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:
Futamura (U.S.P. 5,740,056)
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner, Mr. Hanley, and Mr. Goldman discussed amended language regarding a method step of classifying points of edge countour data based on a relationship of skeletal nodes. Mr. Hanley stated that he would refine language related to this step and present a proposed amendment. .